Pair Wins LSD Suit

BY STEVE HOFFMAN

A Missouri man won a $100,000 default judgment against LSD inventor Dr. S. Woodrow Wilson, who is also a former Army general. Wilson said the judgment will help him finance his defense against a similar lawsuit filed by a former Army general.

Wilson said he is considering settling the suit, but he is not sure if he can afford to do so.

The suit was filed by a former Army general who claims he was poisoned by LSD when he served in Vietnam.

The suit claims that Wilson, who was a major general at the time, deliberately released LSD to test its effects on soldiers.

Wilson denies the allegations.

The lawsuit was filed in federal court in St. Louis.

Ford 'Welcomes' Veteran Democrat

Liberal Caucus Blamed

Rep. John James, a D-5 congresswoman from Ohio, introduced a bill that would restrict the number of votes the Democratic caucus could deliver on a specific amendment.

The bill was introduced in response to remarks by House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi, who said she would not vote for the amendment unless it was approved by the Democratic caucus.

James said the amendment would help protect the rights of residents in her district, who have complained about the lack of representation in Congress.

The amendment would require a two-thirds majority of the Democratic caucus to support any amendment that would have a significant impact on the district.

The amendment would also require the Democratic caucus to vote on every amendment, regardless of its impact on the district.

The amendment would prevent the Democratic caucus from using its power to block amendments that are not in the best interest of the district.

The amendment would also require the Democratic caucus to hold a vote on every amendment, regardless of its impact on the district.
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Scientist Offers New Approach To Skin Treatment

GM Dealers have more than 250,000 new 1975 small cars to sell.

From now through Feb. 28, 1975, GM is giving rebates of $200 or $500 on every one sold and delivered.

Two hundred dollars. Five hundred dollars. Those are the amounts of the rebate checks you could receive from General Motors in the next few weeks. Just buy any new 1975 car and take delivery on it from now through February 28, 1975, and you'll get a check for either $200 or $500, depending on the car you select. And this offer isn't limited to just a few models either, it applies to all of them, regardless of options or available equipment, and there are more than 250,000 of these great GM small cars to sell. So get in on GM's big spring rebate offer and get your check for $200 or $500.

Pick your car and the amount of your rebate below.

See your Chevrolet Dealers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>200 ON VEGA</th>
<th>200 ON NOVA</th>
<th>500 ON MONZA 2-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

See your Pontiac Dealers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>200 ON ASTRE</th>
<th>200 ON VENTURA</th>
<th>500 ON STARFIRE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

See your Oldsmobile Dealers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>200 ON OMEGA</th>
<th>500 ON SKYHAWK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

See your Buick Dealers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>200 ON APOLLO/SKYLARK</th>
<th>500 ON SKYHAWK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Getting cars off the lots helps keep production lines rolling and people working.
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Dehumanized Government

In the wake of revelations about government surveillance, one of the most profound and disturbing aspects of our political landscape is the way in which the government has become dehumanized. This can be seen in the way that people are treated by the government, both in terms of the way that they are treated by their elected representatives and in the way that they are treated by the agencies that enforce the law.

One of the most notable examples of this is the Surveillance State. The government has become so powerful that it can effectively spy on anyone it chooses, without any need to justify its actions. This has led to a situation in which people are treated as if they are not human beings, but as mere objects to be manipulated and controlled.

Another example of this is the way that people are treated by the government when they are accused of doing something wrong. In many cases, people are treated as if they are guilty until they are proven innocent, and they are often denied due process and other basic rights.

The result of this dehumanization of government is a society in which people do not feel safe or respected. They do not feel that they are being treated fairly, and they do not feel that they are being heard. This has led to a rise in political extremism and a decline in democratic values.

In order to address this problem, it is essential that we begin to see the government as it truly is: a collection of humans, like ourselves, who are capable of error and who are subject to the same laws as everyone else.

The PEOPLE'S Voice

Anonymous

I am writing this letter in response to the recent article in the newspaper about the government's surveillance programs. As a citizen, I am deeply concerned about the privacy of my personal information.

I have always been aware of the fact that the government is collecting data on me, but I never thought that it would be used in such a way. I believe that the government should be transparent about its actions and should have the support of the people they are spying on.

I urge the government to change its ways and to respect the privacy of its citizens.

Tom Broden

Ad Hominem

William F. Buckley, Jr.
Ford And The Impossible

Consequences Due At The Capitol

The consequences of unethical behavior are always felt, but they are often felt most acutely in the political arena. The recent events at the Capitol have been a stark reminder of this.

When politicians act with malice or selfishness, they are held accountable. They may be censured, they may be impeached, they may be forced to resign. But even when they are not punished, the consequences of their actions are always felt. People are always watching, and they are always ready to hold people accountable.

In this case, the consequences of the politicians' actions have been felt in a very real way. They have lost their jobs, they have lost their reputations, and they have lost the respect of the people they represented.

In the end, the politicians' actions have taught us a valuable lesson. They have shown us that the consequences of our actions are always felt, and that we must always be careful to act in ways that are just and fair.

Untrue

Bill Tharp

Having To grin
And Bear It

In the wake of the recent events at the Capitol, I have been reflecting on the role of the government in our society. In the wake of the recent events at the Capitol, I have been reflecting on the role of the government in our society. In the wake of the recent events at the Capitol, I have been reflecting on the role of the government in our society.
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MEN'S TESTIMONY BREAKFAST
8:00 A.M. SATURDAY, JANUARY 25
FURR'S CAFE, SERRA
R.W. Bird and May in French Marines

SEE AND HEAR WHAT GOD IS DOING TODAY
Come and be inspired by speakers from around the world. See the Wonder. Catch the Vision. Believe and Be A Changed Person.

PREP WRESTLING
College Wrestling
College Basketball
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Believe It or Not!
Stock Market Posts Gain For Second Day In A Row

New York Stock Exchange
Friday Only

One of the largest denomination silver coins ever issued by any country. The first coin in a three centuries to portray a member of the Royal Family other than reigning monarch. Legal tender -- 25 Cayman Islands Dollars Equal 75 U.S. Dollars. Diameter: 45 mm. Weight: 800 grains -- sterling silver.

Available to everyone Friday
January 24, 1975

Mail orders accepted if postmarked no later than January 24, 1975. Add 5% for postage and handling.

Mail orders to: Liberty National Ind., Attn: Cayman Islands Coin Office - P.O. Box 13504 - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125

100% Satisfaction Guaranteed: Return unused coins and offer within 30 days for full credit.